UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,370	05/26/2006	Massimo Brusarosco	07040.0244	4087
22852 7590 10/18/2010 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EXAMINER	
			TO, TUAN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3663	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/18/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/563,370	BRUSAROSCO ET AL.
	Art Unit
TUAN C. TO	3663
	_

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Br	ef Request for Review filed 9/13/2010.
 Improper Request – The Recreason(s): 	uest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not includ	t been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. reasons why a review is appropriate. cluded with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from unication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month frunning from the receipt of the notice	Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal om mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the FR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date.
☐ The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consider	he status of the claim(s) is as follows: eration:
	nference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of n on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	erence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office on is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>TUAN C. TO</u> .	(3) <u>Marc Jimenez</u> .
(2) <u>Cuong H. Nguyen</u> .	(4)
	CUONG H. NGUYEN/ /Marc Jimenez/